

Public Servants – PR & RD Department – Allegation of violation of A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964 against Sri A. Ramachandra Acharya, EE (FAC), P.R. – Disciplinary action initiated – Enquiry Report submitted - Expired on 11.07.2010 – Further action abated - Orders – Issued.

PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT (VIG.II) DEPARTMENT

Dated:29.08.2012.

1. From G.A. (Vig. & Enf.) Dept. U.O. Note No. 23/V&E/NR-2/98, Dt. 9.8.1998.
2. Govt Memo. No. 13580/TW. Ser. II-1/97-18, Dated. 9.7.1999
3. Written Statement of Defence submitted by A. Ramachandra Acharya, EE (FAC), PR, Dt. 29.03.2005.
4. G.O. Rt. No.369 Social Welfare (TW Ser. II. 1) Dept., Dt. 24.05.2008
5. From the Commissioner of Enquiries, G.A (COI) Dept., D.O. Lr. No. 814/COI-CK/A1/2008, Dt. 8.12.2008
6. Govt Memo. No. 15585/Vig. II/A1/2009 PR & RD Dept., Dt. 9.11.2009
7. Lr. No. Vig (1)/16308/99, dated. 27.04.2011 of the ENC (PR), Hyd.

ORDER:

It has been brought to the notice of the Government that Sri A. Ramachandra Acharya, EE (FAC), P.R along with others have misappropriated Government funds of ITDA, Seethampeta, Srikakulam District, while the officer working in ITDA, Seethampeta, Srikakulam District. Disciplinary action was initiated under Rule 20 of A.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991, against the officer along with the others by calling his written statement of defence vide reference 2nd read above and the Charged Officer has submitted his written statement of defence vide reference 3rd read above.

2. After examining the matter it was decided to hold an enquiry against the Charged Officers and others and orders were issued entrusting the case to Commissioner of Inquiries, General Administration (COI) Department, for conducting detailed enquiry, as per Rule 20 (2) of A.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 vide reference 4th read above.

3. The Inquiry Officer has submitted her enquiry report, vide reference 5th read above. The Enquiry Officer in her findings has stated that if the department satisfies itself that the said works for which advances were given were executed properly though there was delay in adjustment of the advances, a light view can be taken against such officers. A copy of Inquiry Report was communicated to the Charged Officer, viz., Sri A. Ramachandra Acharya, EE (FAC), P.R under Rule 21 (2) of A.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991; and directed to submit his representation, if any, in the reference 6th read above.

4. The ENC (PR), Hyderabad in the reference 7th read above has informed that as per the Enquiry Report, an amount of Rs. 1.25 lakhs, out of 2.05 lakhs was adjusted leaving balance amount in respect of Sri A. Ramachandra Acharya, EE (FAC), P.R. He has further informed that Sri A. Ramachandra Acharya, EE (FAC), P.R. was expired on 11.07.2010 and the balance amount (advance) may be recovered from his Pensionary benefits.

5. Government after careful examination of the matter hereby decided to abate further action against Sri A. Ramachandra Acharya, EE (FAC), P.R; and to recover the balance amount (advance) from his Pensionary Benefits; and accordingly hereby abate further action against Sri A. Ramachandra Acharya, EE (FAC), P.R. and order for recovery of balance amount (advance) from his Pensionary benefits as per Sub-Rule (7) of Rule 9 of APRP Rules, 1980.

6. The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad shall take necessary further action, in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

C.R. BISWAL
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVT(PR)

To
The Engineer-in-Chief,
Panchayat Raj Dept.,
Hyderabad.
(with a request to serve the copy to the legal heirs of the individual)

The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj Dept., Hyderabad

Copy to:

The Secretary to Vigilance Commissioner,
A.P. Vigilance Commission, Hyderabad

The Social Welfare (TW. Ser. II) Department
w.r.to Memo. No. 13580/TW. Ser. II-1/97-18, Dated. 9.7.1999
SF/SCs

//Forwarded By Order//

SECTION OFFICER